

The Port Arthur Massacre

A crime too sensitive to investigate...

"There will never be uniform Gun Laws in Australia until we see a massacre somewhere in Tasmania" said Barry Unsworth, NSW Premier, December, 1987 at a conference in Hobart.

The Port Arthur massacre was the biggest mass murder in Australia in modern times in terms of the number of victims. Yet to date there has been:

- no coronial inquiry or inquest
- no trial at which the prosecution evidence was put to the test
- no subsequent public inquiry of any kind

Right handed vs Left Handed shooters

The shooter that shot the victims in the Broad Arrow Cafe shot right handed, and from the hip. Meaning he did not take aim down the sights. This is incredibly difficult for someone to do, especially someone with no military or tactical experience.

"Eighteen of the first twenty dead in the Broad Arrow Cafe died from single shots to the head, all fired by the marksman from his right hip in less than 90 seconds", as described by Prosecutor Damian Bugg.

"The gunman was right-handed and held the rifle about 3 inches above the hip whilst shooting."

-Graham Collyer - Port Arthur Massacre Survivor Recount

[<http://archive.is/dWcV6>]

[<https://web.archive.org/web/20010508013225/http://www.shootersnews.addr.com/cttranscript.htm>]

Excerpts from the transcript of interviews between detectives and Martin Bryant:

DETECTIVE: When you practiced your shooting, did you, where did you hold the gun?

MARTIN BRYANT: Up like this, on my left

DETECTIVE: So if you held a gun, you would pull the trigger with your a finger on your left hand?

MARTIN BRYANT: Yeah that's right, yeah

DETECTIVE: Oh right. And uh, did you ever practice shooting from the hip?

MARTIN BRYANT: No, never

DETECTIVE: Never?

https://wikileaks.org/wiki/Martin_Bryant_complete_interview

<https://au.news.yahoo.com/transcript-martin-bryant-31053724.htm>



On left:
Bryant describes how he holds and
shoots a rifle during the police interview.

The Shooter's Ability

In 1998, Wound Ballistics Review pointed out that the Port Arthur incident: "...is unique in relation to the wounds for several reasons. Twice as many people were killed as injured (the reverse normally being true)."

What's more, the Broad Arrow Café gunman managed to shoot the first 19 out of 20 people dead with single accurate shots to the head, fired from his right hip. Some researchers maintain that Bryant, who was an amateur shooter with virtually no shooting experience whatsoever, would have entirely lacked the skills to carry out such a feat.

A powerful case has been made to this effect by Perth researcher Joe Vialls (now deceased), based on the fact that amateur shooters generally achieve a much lower KIR (killed-to-injured ratio) than did the Broad Arrow Café shooter. In an enclosed space like the Broad Arrow Café, targets would have to be shot in a careful sequence with split-second timing to maximise the kill rate. Yet the Broad Arrow Café gunman managed a kill rate well above that required of a fully trained soldier—an impossible task for a man like Bryant, with an IQ in the mid-60s and his total lack of military training.

Vialls concluded that the shooter was a military-trained marksman who would probably rank among the top 10 or 20 shooters in the world.

Brigadier Ted Serong, former head of Australian forces in Vietnam, was just as impressed. In 1999, Serong—who explained that his eyes had first been opened by the "astonishing proportion of killed to wounded"—told Melbourne newspaper the Age:

"There was an almost satanic accuracy to that shooting performance. Whoever did it is better than I am, and there are not too many people around here better than I am."

Vials wrote:

"The shooter in the Broad Arrow Café at Port Arthur demonstrated all of the qualities of a trained counterterrorist marksman but made no amateur mistakes. Always in motion and point-shooting from the right hip with devastating accuracy, he killed twenty of the occupants with single shots to the head and wounded twelve more, firing a total of only 29 rounds. Using known techniques reported by witnesses, he ensured his own safety from attack by turning on the spot and staying outside grappling range. It was an awesome display of expertise, even by special forces standards."

<http://www.despatch.cth.com.au/Misc/martinbryant/MartinBryant1.html>

[Dutton, Gerard (Sgt) (Ballistics Section, Hobart Tasmania Police) et al., "A Review of the Wounding Effects of the Colt AR-15 and FN-FAL Rifles used by Martin Bryant in the Port Arthur Shooting Incident, April 26, 1996, Tasmania, Australia", Wound Ballistics Review 3(4) 1998.]

The lack of DNA evidence, despite the shooter eating a meal before the attack.

It is commonly known, that in the official report, the shooter "ate a meal and drank from a can of soft drink" and even talked to people sitting nearby while having his meal, before conducting the attack. No DNA evidence was ever presented to Bryant during interview, or in any court procedures.

Forensic Detective Sgt. Dutton later admitted to the media that there was no forensic evidence to place Martin Bryant at the Broad Arrow Cafe. No finger prints, no DNA, no blood splatter. Was none collected? Or knowing the outcome of the trial before hand maybe they just didn't bother to collect any.

<https://web.archive.org/web/20010508013225/>

<http://www.shootersnews.addr.com/cttranscript.htm>

<http://archive.is/cuVio>

<https://www.britannica.com/event/Port-Arthur-Massacre>

Physical Description of Bryant vs The Shooter

Mr Laycock, a previous owner of the Broad Arrow cafe, knew Martin Bryant well enough because he, and his benefactor Mrs Harvey, would often take tea in his cafe and she would buy him gifts in the store. Several statements have been made by various witnesses, and we have copies of them all, which cancel out all those who think they saw Bryant but, instead, actually saw the real gunman wearing a wig. See image:

P.D. No. 1002

Page No.

4

530

STATEMENT - Continued

Name of Witness	LAYCOCK	James Clement
	Surname (in capitals)	Christian Name

I was informed by one of the people who had hid in the bushes that the male had a BMW car so I went back to the shop and advised the police of the vehicle, and that it wasn't the yellow Volvo which was still at the toll gate.

Constable Chris ISLES arrived about 3 minutes after the last incident, he asked me to get in the car and we would follow this vehicle, but after he had seen the young deceased female he decided to leave me at the scene.

I have known Martin BRYANT from the age of 10 years until he was about 23 years old, I only knew him as one of the local kids. Whilst he was with the lady (Helen HARVEY) he would come in to the Broad Arrow and she would buy him a Devonshire tea and spend a lot of money on possessions. Over a 5 year period they came into the Restaurant about 1 dozen times, always on a Sunday and always for afternoon tea. Martin did tell me he had been kicked out of the Broad Arrow Restaurant at some stage. Martin was terribly competitive on possession, he would go to my daughter in the craft shop and tell her things such as "I've got a new Walkman what have you got, Dads got a Volvo car what sort of car has your father got".

I'm under the impression that Martin's father lived with Martin and Mrs HARVEY at Copping and that the father was the benefactor of Miss HARVEY'S estate and that when the father died Martin inherited the estate.

On this Sunday the 28th April 1996, I did not recognise the male as Martin BRYANT. The person I saw shooting appeared to be in their low twenties, about 5'10" tall, it was impossible to determine his build, (the coat was shapeless). His hair stood out - it was blonde, I thought it was bleached blonde and possibly a female. His hair was shoulder length. His walking appeared to be mannish.

The youths in the red car returned from the bush and they left the scene.

The blonde headed person I saw at the toll gate is definitely the same blonde headed person I saw shoot the woman in the white car at the General Store.

[J C LAYCOCK]

[L F JONES]

Sergeant No 1307 12.15 pm 10/5/96

Also important to note that On 30th April the Hobart Mercury printed an old photo of Martin Bryant on the front page. This was illegal because at that stage some of the witnesses had not yet been asked to identify the killer, and the photo could have affected the memories of the witnesses. When one witness was asked to describe the clothing worn by the gunman, she described the clothing on the old photo instead of what the gunman had worn. The Mercury newspaper was not prosecuted for breaking the law.

Graham Collyer was shot in the neck inside the cafe. He describes the shooter as having "a lot of acne, a pitted face." In contrast, Martin has a smooth, creamy complexion. Graham's witness statement:

<http://portarthurinquiry.blogspot.com/2019/04/>

Another witness, Rebecca McKenna, got a good look at the man's face. Who describes the shooter's skin as "freckley", which corroborates the statement by that of Graham Collyer who, as we saw, stated that the shooter's complexion was acne-scarred. However, Bryant's complexion is perfectly smooth,

<http://www.despatch.cth.com.au/Misc/martinbryant/MartinBryant1.html>

Evidence remains under lock and key for 75 years.

The evidence from the massacre was given an "E75" clearance. Meaning that all the evidence collected or reviewed, is sealed for 75 years, and only the minister of police can view it. When Senator David Lleyonhjelm tried to get this overturned, he was quickly shut down.

SENATE QUESTION

QUESTION NUMBER: SQoN 760

Senator David Lleyonhjelm asked the following, upon notice, on 26 March 2018.

Noting that, there are many conspiracy theories surrounding the Port Arthur massacre of April 1996—such theories are perpetuated by the fact that the Prime Minister at the time, Mr John Howard, ordered that the coronial inquest be terminated and the evidence suppressed and this has allowed speculation to flourish based on limited information:

1. Given that over 20 years have elapsed, what is preventing the immediate release of the evidence presented to the coronial inquest.
2. If not immediately, when will the evidence heard at the coronial inquest be released.
3. What reasons are there for not allowing the inquest to complete its investigation.

Senator Cash – The Hon Christian Porter MP has provided the following answer to the honourable senator's question:

State and Territory Governments are responsible for the conduct of coronial inquests in Australia. Questions relating to the conduct of any inquests in Tasmania should be directed to the Attorney-General for the State of Tasmania.

<http://portarthurinquiry.blogspot.com/2019/04/>

Martin Bryants IQ and retardation.

When leaving school in 1983, Bryant was assessed for a disability pension by a psychiatrist who wrote: "Cannot read or write. Does a bit of gardening and watches TV... Only his parents' efforts prevent further deterioration.

In an examination after the massacre, forensic psychiatrist Ian Joblin found Bryant to be borderline mentally disabled, with an I.Q. of 66, equivalent to an 11-year-old.

How does a man, with the IQ of an 11yo, plan such an attack and then kill so many people with tactical military precision?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Bryant#Psychological_and_psychiatric_assessments

Shedding light on Port Arthur killer". The Age. Melbourne. 29 March 2006. Retrieved 8 December 2008.

Sale of the Broad Arrow Cafe to the Govt. in 1995

“Also, in June 1995, owner Jim Laycock sold the Broad Arrow Café to the Tasmanian government. This, in an age of privatisation, seems to have been an extremely unusual case of acquisition by government of the kind of business normally considered the preserve of private enterprise. The government, which took over the building on 1 July 1995, then proceeded to refurbish it—presumably to create the perfect environment for the kind of massacre being planned. The work included the insertion of a new door to the rear of the building—the very door which infamously failed to operate on the day of the massacre. Note how the building only had 2 potential exits. The door the shooter used, and the door that opened inwards, towards the room, which victims would've been stacked up against trying to escape.”

https://books.google.com.au/booksid=iLt4OYwyt68C&pg=PA82&lpg=PA82&dq=sale+of+broad+arrow+cafe+to+govt&source=bl&ots=q7LKcAt5Pm&sig=ACfU3U3NjF2pTn8ffak5CdXLDiDuuNL7eQ&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwiz1_T2wunoAhWqxTgGHQ76D2gQ6AEwEHoECAwQKQ#v=onepage&q=sale%20of%20broad%20arrow%20cafe%20to%20govt&f=false

https://www.wikileaks.org/wiki/Martin_bryant

Design and Construction of a 22 person body truck.

A particularly damning piece of evidence is the fact that in 1995 the Tasmanian government ordered a mortuary vehicle that was capable of carrying 22 bodies at once. It is impossible to account for the government's decision to purchase such a vehicle when the state—which had been the most peaceful in Australia for over a hundred years—had an average murder rate of one every two months. No other state, not even New South Wales and Victoria—the states in which all previous gun rampages had occurred—possessed a vehicle with such substantial

capacity. So why did the Tasmanian government decide it needed such a vehicle in 1995? And why did it subsequently decide that the vehicle, having proved its worth at Port Arthur in 1996, would not be needed in future and, in September 1998, offer it for sale? Someone with remarkable abilities of prediction seems to have been steering the course of Tasmanian government policy in the 1990s.


WHAT'S NEW

Vehicle for Sale.

Genuine Enquiries only.

Yellow Chevrolet 350 V8 truck with refrigerated body, holds 22, this vehicle was primarily used as the disaster vehicle in the **Port Arthur Massacre**. This vehicle is currently for sale and all reasonable offers will be considered. The vehicle has value as not only a refrigerated unit for body removal, it is the only one of its kind in the entire country. The "memorabilia" value of it for anyone making a movie/series or writing a book on Port Arthur is limitless. Not only would the purchaser be getting the disaster vehicle but the whole Port Arthur Story would be given as well.

This vehicle is currently for sale and all REASONABLE OFFERS will be considered. Email cwright@trump.net.au



[Home](#) | [About Us](#) | [Background](#) | [Information](#) | [Services](#) | [What's New](#) |

If you have any questions, please contact our Webmaster or phone (011) 0418 581 740.
Copyright 1998 Port Arthur Productions. All rights reserved.



https://www.wikileaks.org/wiki/Martin_bryant

<http://portarthurinquiry.blogspot.com/2018/12/truck.html>

<https://cairnsnews.org/2018/08/10/port-arthur-shooting-coverup-revisited/>

Rushed trial where Bryant was convinced to plead guilty.

Bryant was judged fit to stand trial, and his trial was scheduled to begin 7 November 1996. Bryant initially pleaded not guilty, but was persuaded by his court-appointed lawyer, John Avery, and the prosecution to plead guilty to all charges.

Two weeks later, Hobart Supreme Court Judge William Cox gave Bryant 35 life sentences, plus 1,652 years in prison, without the possibility of parole, all of which is to be served concurrently; this life sentence being applied is "for the term of [his] natural life

Bryant's court appointed lawyer John Avery was later imprisoned for one count of misappropriation as a company officer and 133 counts of stealing. Obviously a shady character.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Avery_\(lawyer\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Avery_(lawyer))

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Bryant#Imprisonment

Two convenient seminars held nearby.

On the Sunday morning (the day of the cafe massacre), some 25 specialist doctors (Royal Australian College of Surgeons) from all over Australia had attended a training course in Hobart, and their last lecture was on Terrorist Attack and Gunshot Wounds. They stayed on to take care of the wounded victims.

Also, more than 700 reporters from 17 nations came to a seminar in Hobart. They were asked to arrive during the week-end as the seminar was due to begin early on Monday morning. How handy to have 700 scribblers churning out their anti-gun and disarmament propaganda to the whole world!

<http://archive.is/6qgSl>

Local cops sent on wild goose chase whilst shooting occurred.

Just before the shootings at the Port Arthur Historic Site commenced, the only two policemen [Hyland & Whittle] in the region were called away on a wild goosechase. They were sent to the coal mine at Saltwater River, to investigate a heroin drug stash which turned out to be soap powder. This was too far for them to get to the Broad Arrow Café in time to be of any use. Had a cop remained at Dunalley, he could have closed the swing bridge to prevent the killer(s) from escaping off the peninsula. Did Bryant with his IQ of 66 organise this decoy?

Book: Mass Murder – By Keith Alan Noble, pg 16

<http://netk.net.au/Tasmania/Noble1.pdf>

The speciality ordering of embalming machines “MANUFACTURED READY FOR THE INCIDENT”

A local embalmer at the time of the shooting, ex-cop, and eventually senator (2004), Stephen Parry, of Nelson Brother's Funerals, in his 16 page, disturbing 1997 paper, entitled 'Port Arthur Massacre – AFDA National Embalming Team – Detailed Report', stated in the following passage :

“I was particularly impressed by the quick response and initiatives by some of the team members in packaging and collecting equipment.

The response time and the amount of equipment quickly relocated was fantastic. One firm in particular, Nelson Brothers, had organised for an embalming machine box and a special large equipment case to be manufactured ready for the incident. These two containers were the envy of all embalmers and worked extremely well.

I would suggest that design specifications may be available from this firm for any future considerations by other firms.”

Parry reveals the funeral services company of Nelson Brothers in Melbourne, Victoria, had undertaken preparations prior to the shooting.

When Parry in 2016 was asked to show cause regarding the incident, he did not respond. Interesting how an ex-cop, became an embalmer, then later a senator who became president of the senate.

<http://portarthurinquiry.blogspot.com/2018/12/truck.html>

<https://cairnsnews.wordpress.com/tag/false-evidence/?iframe=true&preview=true/feed/>

Stephen Parry. Port Arthur massacre 1996 – AFDA national embalming team – detailed report; Port Arthur Seminar Papers; 1997: p.gs. 16 and 112.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stephen_Parry_\(Australian_politician\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stephen_Parry_(Australian_politician))



– SHOW CAUSE NOTICE –
STEPHEN SHANE PARRY
Embalmer & Ex-Cop
President, Senate of Australia
Liberal Party Member, Tasmania, Australia
senator.parry@aph.gov.au

WITHOUT
THE
TRUTH
THERE IS
NO
JUSTICE

STEPHEN SHANE PARRY you are the President of the Senate of Australia. You have been a Liberal Party senator for the state of Tasmania since 2004. Prior to that time, you were an embalmer in Tasmania. And before that you were with the notorious Tasmania Police. During the time you were an embalmer (1965-2004), you were involved with embalming bodies of victims of the shooting incident at Port Arthur in Tasmania which took place in April 1996.

On 11-12 March in 1997, a seminar addressing the said shooting incident at Port Arthur was held in Melbourne, Australia. It was organised by the Tasmania State Disaster Committee and sponsored by Emergency Management Australia. During that seminar, papers were presented to the people in attendance. After the seminar, these papers were compiled and published by Emergency Management Australia. The resultant book has 137 pages, it contains illustrations, and is titled: **PORT ARTHUR SEMINAR PAPERS: A record of the proceedings of the Port Arthur Seminar, 11-12 March 1997, Melbourne, Victoria.** The identification numbers for this book are: Dewey 363.3497809946; ISBN 0642271364; Libraries Australia ID 13206046.

The paper **Port Arthur Massacre – AFDA National Embalming Team – Detailed Report** appears on pages 104 to 119 of said book. On the top of page 104, the name **Stephen Parry** and no other name appears with your name. It is followed by the descriptor **Team Leader**. Everything in this paper suggests you wrote it for the Port Arthur Seminar based on your experiences related to the embalming of the bodies of the official incident at Port Arthur. Your paper suggests you had a good understanding of your embalming tasks, you had a close working relationship with your fellow embalmers, and that there was good rapport between embalmers.

On page 112 of said book, which is the middle of your paper, you state the following sentences:

"I was particularly impressed by the quick response and initiatives by some of the team members in packaging and collecting equipment. The response time and the amount of equipment quickly relocated was fantastic. One firm in particular, Nelson Brothers, had organised for an embalming machine box and a special large equipment case to be **manufactured ready for the incident**. These two containers were the envy of all embalmers and worked extremely well. I would suggest that design specifications may be available from this firm for any future considerations by other firms." (added emphases)

You say that the funeral services company Nelson Brothers had: "organised for an embalming machine box and a special large equipment case to be manufactured ready for the incident." There is no uncertainty in your words. Said equipment was large, possessed significant design specifications, and was made prior to and for the incident at Port Arthur, Tasmania. You knew this in 1997. You possibly knew these facts in 1996 at the time you worked as the leader of a team responsible for embalming bodies (c.25) of the victims of the Port Arthur shooting incident.

YOU are herewith asked to **SHOW CAUSE BY SWORN AFFIDAVIT** why the people of Australia should not believe your words implying Nelson Brothers in Melbourne had prior knowledge of an incident in which the State would kill people, evidenced by that funeral services company having had special embalming equipment "manufactured ready for the incident." If your Affidavit is not received by me on or before 16 March 2016, and if it does not contain verifiable references as well as the names of officials who support your position declared therein, it can only be concluded that you create the truth in your embalming name. And thus, it will be assumed that

The show cause notice sent to Stephen Parry asking to explain the actions of Nelsons Brothers before and during the shooting.

PDF of the show cause notice can be found here:

<http://members.iinet.net.au/~nedwood/STEPHEN.PARRY.SHOW.CAUSE.NOTICE.pdf>

Further and recommended reading and videos.

A great book that goes into IMMENSE detail regarding all aspects in this summary:

MASS MURDER OFFICIAL KILLING in Tasmania, Australia – By Keith Alan Noble

Can be found here:

<http://netk.net.au/Tasmania/Noble1.pdf>

Port Arthur Cracks:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hF50WR_BUew

A great video on the body truck and embalming machines ordered prior to the attack.

“Port Arthur Massacre Truth, Playlist”

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL99Bz6F4dE0FUKcbuWuSdIdrErD415H2k>

Includes 3x full length documentaries exposing the cracks in the story, as well as other collected short videos.

3 Part extremely comprehensive magazine piece on the inconsistencies of Port Arthur Part 1:

<http://www.despatch.cth.com.au/Misc/martinbryant/MartinBryant1.html>

(Backup mirror link: <http://archive.is/97hd9>)

Part 2:

<https://idoc.pub/documents/the-port-arthur-massacre-was-martin-bryant-framed-pt2mw11o9rj51nj>

(Backup link: <http://archive.is/WoqOp>)

Part 3: <http://www.despatch.cth.com.au/Misc/martinbryant/MartinBryant3.html>

(Backup link: <http://archive.is/5WDbn>)

Questions from *Deceit And Terrorism At Port Arthur*, by Andrew MacGregor, a former policeman (I have changed the order the points appear in):

<https://web.archive.org/web/20080502115155/2012unlimited.net/DAT.html>

1. Why were ASIO in a state jurisdiction? The media claimed it wasn't a terrorist attack yet ASIO wasn't collating information at either the Hoddle St. or Queen St. massacres. Yet its members were recalled from their weekend leaves and sent to Port Arthur.
2. The lie of 29 shots from only one gun in 1-2 minutes. The video records 17 shots in 15 seconds.
3. Volunteers rushed to help the wounded at Port Arthur, yet the police hierarchy held police back for six hours - they only arrived after dark.
4. The only hearse in Australian history capable of holding 22 bodies had been purchased by Tasmania beforehand, and was for sale in September 1999, that capacity was never needed again.
7. Australia's Liberal Party politicians regard themselves as superior, apart, smarter than everyone else. With a natural right to rule. If they become Premier or Prime Minister they don't resign. They either lose elections or are politically knifed by their fellows. So when Ray Groom stood down as Premier of Tasmania and took on the roles of Attorney General, Minister for Justice, Tourism, Workplace Standards and the historic Port Arthur site, he actually increased his workload whilst reducing his pay and rank. Two months before the Port Arthur Massacre. He was the minister for every aspect of the Tasmanian Government's involvement in the Port Arthur site, before, during and after the massacre.
6. He promptly oversaw a disaster exercise at Hobart Airport the weekend before the massacre, and on the weekend of the massacre an EMST course was being conducted at the Clinical School adjacent to Royal Hobart Hospital. So most of the key personnel in trauma management were on duty at the time.
5. The Liberal Party politician, John Howard, was Prime Minister at the time and promptly committed the crime of Contempt. He stated that since the perpetrator had been arrested there was no need for a coronial inquest. Under Australian law everyone must be considered innocent until proven guilty and under the law in all States of Australia all deaths not covered by a Doctor's Certificate must undergo a coronial inquest. There are no ifs or buts about it. Radio commentators have been imprisoned for doing the same. He was only a politician. Where was the legal profession at the time? There is supposed to be a separation of powers. Why hasn't he been prosecuted? John Howard is one of a few men who carefully grooms his eyebrows to look like Lucifer (they are trimmed on the outer half, carefully brushed upwards on the inner half).
8. Before the massacre, the gunman bought marijuana from some girls in the broken down car outside 'Seascape'. He told them: "I'm going down to the Isle of the Dead to get rid of some wasps." As an acronym, WASP means White Anglo Saxon Protestant. Only two interests use it: Marxists and Catholics.

Dr Peters page:

<http://members.iimetro.com.au/~hubbca/peters.htm>

Non Government Organisations (NGO's) manipulate a country by directing money, marketing/protests and doctrine through their networks. The one country to most openly clamp down on NGO's is Russia. The effect can be seen in those countries where the lie of man made 'Global Warming' is enforced with fanaticism, even in the face of scientific measurements that all planets in the solar system warmed up, then began cooling. With this came a dramatic increase in the sun's magnetic field, an increase in cosmic radiation, both from the sun and further out in space, and possibly an increase in ultraviolet light – the most likely source of the extra heat. Suppressing dissent is characterised by the same hatred and accusations as the disarmament campaign.

The country where this lie is most obviously not prevalent is Russia. There the reality of Grand Solar Minimums are openly discussed: where throughout history the earth's temperature temporarily increases before the sun cools by around seven degrees, with dramatic effects on the earth's temperature, rainfall, agricultural production and civilizations. (Look up Valentina Zharkova, her interviews are in English).

Russia has built the Palace of the Farmers, like the headquarters of Australia's National Farmer's Federation, but it's a small palace in the neoclassical style. Around it is a publicly accessible park, so the public use it, see the palace and relate to the nation's farmers. Rather than the habit in Australia, where farmers oppose banning guns and so must be punished: dairy deregulation, removal of tariff protection, imposition of Primary Producers' Licenses and a raft of red tape since the 1990's. Just in time for the coming crisis. Of course all this is fuelled by money, funding for NGO's and political donations. A dramatic shortage of food not only increases the price of food, and therefore the profit, but creates a crisis. Political parties tolerated by NGO's see crisis as a political opportunity – witness the initial reaction to the Chinese Flu: in New South Wales the Liberal Party wanted to use the pandemic as an excuse to confiscate all guns (initially it received far more attention than the Ruby Princess, an infected cruise ship).

It's government by money, unaccountable and not transparent to anyone else. These require funding.

“In Australia, the “gun control” compact deployed as a network of NGO's” and a Labour politician, Duncan Kerr, oversaw the appointment of one of their members, Darly Smeaton, to draft firearms legislation for the States and Territories to enact in November 1995. It was obeying the source of the money. The largest source, at least US\$317 million, came from the American Department of Defence. The second largest source would appear to be the Soros Foundation (where does that get its funding?). That's the government represented by the 'non government organisations'.

It is worth noting that the phenomenon of large massacres with a firearm only occurred in Australia and New Zealand after the arrival of one Rebecca Peters in Australia, an american whom the money seems to follow. These stopped once she left for her home country, where the new phenomenon of school massacres began to be a regular occurrence. Whilst she was

here in Australia disarmament advocates began insisting on defining 'massacre' as four or more people. It didn't have a numerical definition before that, and, if you use that definition, then 'massacres' have been a regular occurrence in Australia since at least the arrival of British convicts and have continued unabated ever since. It's smoke screening.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_massacres_in_Australia

After the Port Arthur Massacre John Howard did everything he could to stop a coronial inquest.

Rebecca Peters' citizen disarmament agenda is far flung, including Australia, New Zealand, the sub continent, South America, Great Britain and Europe. The benefiting interest should be seen in the sources of the funding.

Rebecca Peters received an audience before the NSW Bar Association, who then passed a motion to prevent a legal challenge to disarmament, promising "free legal services" to that end.

Yet Australia's parliaments are limited, they are not supreme. Under the Bill of Right, 1689, carried by British Settlers into new places by the Act of Settlement 1700, the right of protestants to bear arms and use them in their defence is beyond the powers of parliaments.

One wonders why this happened when disarmament is so contrary to the religion, law, society and culture. Given that so many legals attend church, and presumably, have read the law and were brought up in the society and the culture.

Years later all the Australian Parliaments with an Imperial Acts Application Act ostensibly revoked the Bill of Right, something parliaments are not empowered to do, ostensibly so "A monarch may be married to a catholic", something prohibited by the Bill of Right, that also outlaws catholics, or anyone who has ever been a catholic, from sitting in either house of parliament. Yet it was catholics in parliaments who 'revoked' the Bill of Right.

"Play trauma as a finely tuned tool", "Trauma is an extraordinarily efficient agent". Or, if you grew up in Sydney's North Shore and heard gossip on the Liberal Party like me, it was put as: "The prayer of the barbarian is a cry of fear unto strength."

The quote from *Serial Killers Part II*, by Carol Greene and David Hammer, 1990, p11, clearly demonstrates what the americans are willing to do to their own people, by the CIA on taxpayer funds. How much more so will they do to your country? Islamic terrorism suddenly becomes useful to all other countries. How much for a bomb to go off in muttland? The CIA is half mormon and this is where they mostly live. This is where the families that run the country mostly live and most child abductions in the country occur around Washington. Leave the rest alone, other than anything related to oil, gas and coal, thus undermining the economics that funds terrorist events such as the Port Arthur Massacre.

Australian Firearm Related Deaths: New Findings and Implications for Crime Prevention and Health Policies following revisions to Official Death Count Data

Jeanine Baker @ Samara McPhedran

Abstract:

Legislative interventions to address firearms misuse have received international attention in both crime prevention and public health and safety discourse. In 1996, Australian firearms legislation was tightened significantly, providing a 'natural experimental design'. Since that time, a series of studies have evaluated impacts of the legislative changes, with varying results. However, additional years of data have become available and official death count data have been substantially revised since those studies were published. The current study considered whether these new data may lead to novel findings and insights, relative to earlier studies. Time series analyses were used to evaluate firearm and non-firearm deaths. Observed and predicted firearm homicide trends did not differ significantly post-legislative reforms, while firearm suicide, non-firearm suicide and non-firearm homicide were all significantly lower than predicted. Broader social, cultural and economic factors may have influenced both firearm and non-firearm injury in Australia. This has implications for crime prevention and public health and safety policies internationally.

It's long winded, but compare it with this page:

<http://joannenova.com.au/2019/10/who-knew-the-australian-bureau-of-met-just-made-last-summer-hotter-and-history-colder-again/>

where it's plainly stated that Australia's Bureau of Meteorology adjusted the recorded temperatures to fit a narrative, to support the lie of global warming by claiming last century was colder than it was and this century is hotter than it is.

The same has been done to the statistics on unnatural deaths in Australia, I'm sure the bereaved would like to know their loss is just a useful statistic, to tell lies. Australia's politics can be described as: 'reality conforms with policy, and these people have meetings to formulate policy'. Liberal and Labour have been in office during this time. These women are pushing back.